ROBUST FEEDBACK SYNTHESIS FOR A DISTURBED CANONICAL SYSTEM

Revina Tetyana V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Ukraine

The statement of the feedback synthesis problem

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u), \tag{1}$$

where $x \in Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, Q is a certain neighborhood of the origin; and $u \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^r$, Ω is such that $0 \in int \Omega$, f(0,0) = 0.

Definition 1. The local feedback synthesis consists in constructing a control of the form u = u(x), $x \in Q$ such that:

• $u(x) \in \Omega;$

2 the trajectory x(t) of the closed-loop system

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u(x)), \tag{2}$$

starting at an arbitrary point $x(0) = x_0 \in Q$, ends at the origin x(T) = 0 at some finite time $T = T(x_0)$.

If $Q = \mathbb{R}^n$, this problem will be referred to as the global feedback synthesis.

The statement of the feedback synthesis problem

Remark 1. Let us note some difficulties related to solving this problem.

- Since there exist infinitely many trajectories passing through the origin (note that the time of motion is finite), the right-hand side of equation (2) cannot satisfy the Lipschitz condition in a neighborhood of the origin according to the existence and uniqueness theorem.
- ② The control u(x) must satisfy the preassigned constraint u(x) ∈ Ω.

Our approach is based on the *controllability function method* suggested by V. I. Korobov in 1979 as a way to solve the feedback synthesis problem.

Korobov V. I. A general approach to the solution of the bounded control synthesis problem in a controllability problem// Math. USSR Sb. – 1980. – 37(4). – pp. 535 - 557, translation from Mat. Sb.– 1979. –109(151). – No. 4(8).

The controllability function method

Consider the canonical system

$$\dot{x} = A_0 x + b_0 u, \tag{3}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, *u* satisfies the constraint $|u| \leq 1$,

$$A_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Solving the synthesis problem for an arbitrary linear system with a scalar control can be reduced to solving the synthesis problem for the canonical system.



Korobov V. I. Controllability function method (Russian), M.-Izhevsk, R&C Dynamics. – 2007. – pp, 1-576, ARA READ

The controllability function method

$$F^{-1} = \int_{0}^{1} (1-t)e^{-A_{0}t}b_{0}b_{0}^{*}e^{-A_{0}^{*}t}dt =$$
(5)
= $\left(\frac{(-1)^{2n-i-j}}{(n-i)!(n-j)!(2n-i-j+1)(2n-i-j+2)}\right)_{i,j=1}^{n},$
 $D(\Theta) = diag\left(\Theta^{-\frac{2n-2i+1}{2}}\right)^{n}$ (6)

$$D(\Theta) = diag \left(\Theta^{-\frac{2n-2i+1}{2}}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$$
(6)

Obtaine the controllability function Θ = Θ(x) as a unique positive solution of the equation

$$2a_0\Theta = (D(\Theta)FD(\Theta)x, x), \ x \neq 0, \quad \Theta(0) = 0, \qquad (7)$$

• where the constant a_0 satisfies the inequality

$$0 < a_0 \leq \frac{2}{f_{nn}}.$$
 (8)

Then the control

$$u(x) = -\frac{1}{2} b_0^* D(\Theta(x)) F D(\Theta(x)) x$$
(9)

solves the global feedback synthesis for system (3) and satisfies the constraint $|u(x)| \leq 1$. Moreover, in this case the equation $\dot{\Theta}(x) = -1$ holds, i.e., the controllability function equals the time of motion from any initial point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to the origin.

 Korobov V. I. Controllability function method (Russian), M.-Izhevsk, R&C Dynamics. – 2007. – pp. 1-576.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{1} = (1 + p(t, x))x_{2}, \\ \dot{x}_{2} = (1 + r_{2}p(t, x))x_{3}, \\ \dots \\ \dot{x}_{n-1} = (1 + r_{n-1}p(t, x))x_{n}, \\ \dot{x}_{n} = u. \end{cases}$$
(10)

Here $t \ge 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a state $(n \ge 2)$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the constraint $|u| \le 1$, r_i , i = 2, ..., n-1 are given numbers, and p(t,x) is an *unknown* perturbation, which, however, satisfies the constraint $d_1 \le p(t,x) \le d_2$. The case when p is a fixed parameter is well known.

Polyak B. T. and Shcherbakov P. S. Robust stability and control (Russian), Nauka, Moskva. – 2002. – pp. 1-303.

The statement of the robust feedback synthesis problem

We rewrite this system at the matrix form

$$\dot{x} = (A_0 + p(t, x)R)x + b_0 u,$$
 (11)

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{n-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & r_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(12)

The robust feedback synthesis problem is considered for the case with a unique perturbation, i. e. in system (11) $r_i = 0$.

Korobov V. I., Revina T. V. Robust feedback synthesis problem for systems with a single perturbation // Communications in Mathematical Analysis. - 2014. - 17, no. 2. - pp. 217 - 230, www.math-res-pub.org/cma/17. **Definition 2.** By \mathcal{P}_{d_1,d_2} we denote the set of functions $p(t,x) : [0;+\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- p(t,x) is continuous in all variables;
- ② in any domain K₁(ρ₂) = {(t,x) : 0 ≤ t < +∞, ||x|| ≤ ρ₂}, the function p(t,x) satisfies the Lipschitz condition |p(t,x") - p(t,x')| ≤ ℓ₁(ρ₂)||x" - x'||, where ℓ₁(ρ₂) depends on the function p;
- So the function p(t,x) satisfies the constraint $d_1 ≤ p(t,x) ≤ d_2$ for all (t,x) ∈ [0; +∞) × ℝ.

The statement of the robust feedback synthesis problem

Let u(x) be the above-mentioned control which solves the synthesis problem for the canonical system

$$\dot{x}=A_0x+b_0u.$$

The goal of our work is

- to find such a $d_1 < 0$ and a $d_2 > 0$ that the value of $d_2 d_1$ becomes maximal for given numbers r_i ,
- If or any perturbation $p(t,x) \in \mathcal{P}_{d_1,d_2}$ the trajectory x(t) of the closed-loop system

$$\dot{x} = (A_0 + p(t, x)R)x + b_0 u(x),$$
 (13)

starting at an arbitrary initial point $x(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, ends at the origin at some finite time $T(x_0, p(t, x))$.

This problem will be referred to as the (d_1, d_2) global robust feedback synthesis (or robust finite-time stabilization).

1

2

3

4

$$H = diag\left(-\frac{2n-2i+1}{2}\right)_{i=1}^{n},$$
 (14)

$$F^{1} = F - FH - HF = ((2n - i - j + 2)f_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{n}, \qquad (15)$$

$$S_0 = FR + R^*F, \tag{16}$$

★ 注 → ★ 注 →

æ

$$\dot{\Theta} = \frac{(-F^1 + p(t, x)S_0)y(\Theta, x), y(\Theta, x))}{(F^1y(\Theta, x), y(\Theta, x))}, \qquad (17)$$

where $y(\Theta, x) = D(\Theta)x$.

Theorem. Let us choose $0 < \gamma_1 < 1, \ \gamma_2 > 1.$ Put

$$\tilde{d}_1^0 = 1/\lambda_{min}((F^1)^{-1}S_0); \quad \tilde{d}_2^0 = 1/\lambda_{max}((F^1)^{-1}S_0).$$
 (18)

$$d_1^0 = \max\{(1-\gamma_1)\tilde{d}_1^0; (1-\gamma_2)\tilde{d}_2^0\}, \quad d_2^0 = \min\{(1-\gamma_1)\tilde{d}_2^0; (1-\gamma_2)\tilde{d}_1^0\}.$$
(19)

Then for all d_1 and d_2 such that $d_1^0 < d_1 < d_2 < d_2^0$, control (9) solves the (d_1, d_2) global robust feedback synthesis for system (10). The time of motion $T = T(x_0, d_1, d_2)$ is bounded as follows:

$$\frac{\Theta(x_0)}{\gamma_2} \leq T(x_0, d_1, d_2) \leq \frac{\Theta(x_0)}{\gamma_1}.$$
 (20)

Remark 2. $d_2^0 - d_1^0$ is decreasing on γ_1 and increasing on γ_2 .

To find a specific trajectory we act as follows. We take an arbitrary initial point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we solve equation (7) at $x = x_0$ and find its unique positive solution $\Theta(x_0) = \theta_0$. Put $\theta(t) = \Theta(x(t))$. For any perturbation $d_1^0 < d_1 \le p(t, x) \le d_2 < d_2^0$, the trajectory is a solution of the following Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = (A_0 + p(t, x)R)x - \frac{1}{2} b_0 b_0^* D(\theta) F D(\theta) x, \\ \dot{\theta} = \frac{((-F^1 + p(t, x)S_0)D(\theta)x, D(\theta)x)}{(F^1 D(\theta)x, D(\theta)x)} \\ x(0) = x_0, \ \theta(0) = \theta_0. \end{cases}$$
(21)

Notice that equation (7) is solved only once at initial point x_0 in order to determine θ_0 .

Let us consider the system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ u \end{pmatrix} + p(t, x_1, x_2) \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(22)

under a constraint on the control of the form $|u| \leq 1$. In system (22), the function $p(t, x_1, x_2)$ is an *unknown* bounded perturbation which satisfies the constraint $d_1 \leq p(t, x_1, x_2) \leq d_2$.

This system can be written in the form: $\dot{x} = (A_0 + p(t, x_1, x_2)R)x + b_0 u$, where

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(23)

Since

$$rg(b_0, (A_0 + pR)b_0) = rg\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1+p\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2,$$
 (24)

this system is completely controllable if $p(t, x_1, x_2) \equiv p \neq -1$.

Let us define the controllability function $\Theta = \Theta(x_1, x_2)$ as a unique solution of equation (7) which takes the form

$$2a_0\Theta^4 = 36x_1^2 + 24\Theta x_1x_2 + 6\Theta^2 x_2^2, \tag{25}$$

where $0 < a_0 \le 2/f_{22} = 1/3$. Let $a_0 = 1/3$. Control (9) which solves the robust feedback synthesis problem is of the form

$$u(x_1, x_2) = -\frac{6x_1}{\Theta^2(x_1, x_2)} - \frac{3x_2}{\Theta(x_1, x_2)}.$$
 (26)

This control satisfies the constraint $|u(x_1, x_2)| \leq 1$.

We get $-0.9 \le p(t, x_1, x_2) \le 0.3$. Let the initial point be equal to $x_0 = (4; -4)$. Then the unique positive solution of equation (25) is $\Theta(x_0) = \theta_0 \approx 9,68$. The three trajectories corresponding to p = -0,9; p = 0 and p = 0.3 are given in Fig. 1. All the other trajectories fill up the domain between the trajectories corresponding to p = -0.9 and p = 0.3 at a perturbation which satisfy the inequality $-0.9 \le p(t, x_1, x_2) \le 0.3$.



As a specific realization of the perturbation, let us consider the function $p = p(t, x_1, x_2) = -0.3 \sin((x_1^2 + x_2^2)t)$. The trajectory is a solution of the following Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{1} = (1+p) x_{2}, \\ \dot{x}_{2} = -\frac{6 x_{1}}{\theta^{2}} - \frac{3x_{2}}{\theta}, \\ \dot{\theta} = \frac{-12 x_{1}^{2} + (-6+6p) x_{1} x_{2} \theta + (-1+2p) x_{2}^{2} \theta^{2}}{12x_{1}^{2} + 6x_{1} x_{2} \theta + x_{2}^{2} \theta^{2}}, \\ x_{1}(0) = 0.12, \quad x_{2}(0) = -0.1, \quad \theta(0) = 9, 68. \end{cases}$$

$$(27)$$

It can be shown numerically that the time of motion is approximately equal to $T \approx 9.62$. Notice that the time of motion is less than θ_0 . The estimate of the time of motion (20) gives a rough result: $2.42 \le T \le 107.56$.







The bibliography

- Korobov V. I. A general approach to the solution of the bounded control synthesis problem in a controllability problem// Math. USSR Sb. - 1980. - 37(4). - pp. 535 - 557, translation from Mat. Sb.- 1979. -109(151). - No. 4(8). pp. 582-606.
- Korobov V. I. Controllability function method (Russian), M.-Izhevsk, R&C Dynamics. – 2007. – pp. 1-576.
- Korobov V. I., Revina T. V. Robust feedback synthesis problem for systems with a single perturbation // Communications in Mathematical Analysis. - 2014. - 17, no. 2. - pp. 217 - 230, www.math-res-pub.org/cma/17.
 - Polyak B. T. and Shcherbakov P. S. Robust stability and control (Russian), Nauka, Moskva. – 2002. – pp. 1-303.