LIMIT CYCLE BIFURCATIONS

Valery A. Gaiko

United Institute of Informatics Problems National Academy of Sciences of Belarus Minsk, Belarus

Global Bifurcation Theory and Hilbert's Sixteenth Problem

Valery A. Gaiko

Book Series: MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS Volume 562

This volume is devoted to the qualitative investigation of two-dimensional polynomial dynamical systems and is aimed at solving Hilbert's Sixteenth Problem on the maximum number and relative position of limit cycles. The author presents a global bifurcation theory of such systems and suggests a new global approach to the study of limit cycle bifurcations.

The obtained results can be applied to higher-dimensional dynamical systems and can be used for the global qualitative analysis of various mathematical models in mechanics, radioelectronics, in ecology and medicine.

Audience: The book would be of interest to specialists in the field of qualitative theory of differential equations and bifurcation theory of dynamical systems. It would also be useful to senior level undergraduate students, postgraduate students, and specialists working in related fields of mathematics and applications.

Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston Hardbound, ISBN 1-4020-7571-5, August 2003, 204 pp. http://www.wkap.nl/prod/b/1-4020-7571-5 EUR 112.00 / USD 125.00 / GBP 78.00 **Problem.** To find the maximum number and to determine the relative position of limit cycles of the equation

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{Q_n(x,y)}{P_n(x,y)} \tag{*}$$

or of the corresponding dynamical system

$$\dot{x} = P_n(x, y), \quad \dot{y} = Q_n(x, y), \quad (**)$$

where P_n and Q_n are polynomials with real coefficients in real variables x, y and not greater than n degree.

Andronov–Hopf bifurcation

from a singular point of center or focus type (Fig. 1)

• Separatrix cycle bifurcation

from a singular closed trajectory (Fig. 2)

• Multiple limit cycle bifurcation from a multiple limit cycle (Fig. 3)

Principal Bifurcations



Figure 1. Andronov–Hopf bifurcation



Figure 2. Separatrix cycle bifurcation



Figure 3. Multiple limit cycle bifurcation

- N. N. Bautin (1952): $H_o(2) = 3$ H. Żołądek (1995): $H_o(3) \ge 11$
- F. Dumortier, R. Roussarie, C. Rousseau (1994): classification and cyclicity of quadratic separatrix cycles
- L. M. Perko (1995): bifurcations of multiple limit cycles

- Shi Sonling (1979); Chen Lansun, Wang Mingshu (1979): $H(2) \ge 4$ and (3:1) - distribution
- **R. Bamón (1986)**: $H(2) < +\infty$
- Yu. S. Il'yashenko (1987); J. Écalle, J. Martinet, R. Moussu, J.-P. Ramis (1987): $H(n) < +\infty$

- N. P. Erugin (1950): qualitative investigation on the whole
- **G. F. D. Duff** (1953): field rotation parameters
- A. Wintner (1931);
 L. M. Perko (1990): termination principle of multiple limit cycles

Theorem. Any quadratic system with limit cycles can be reduced to one of the canonical forms :

$$\dot{x} = -y \left(1 + x + \alpha y\right),$$

$$\dot{y} = x + \left(\lambda + \beta + \gamma\right) y + a x^{2} \qquad (C_{1})$$

$$+ \left(\alpha + \beta + \gamma\right) xy + c \gamma y^{2}$$

or

$$\dot{x} = -y (1 + \nu y), \quad \nu = 0; 1,$$

$$\dot{y} = x + (\lambda + \beta + \gamma) y + a x^{2} \qquad (C_{2})$$

$$+ (\beta + \gamma) xy + c \gamma y^{2}.$$

Another pair of canonical forms:

$$\dot{x} = -y (1+x) + \alpha Q(x,y),$$

$$\dot{y} = x + \lambda y + a x^2 + \beta y (1+x) + c y^2 \qquad (C_3)$$

$$\equiv Q(x,y)$$

or

$$\dot{x} = -y + \nu y^2, \quad \dot{y} = Q(x, y), \quad \nu = 0; 1.$$
 (C₄)

A quadratic canonical system with two field rotation parameters:

$$\dot{x} = P(x, y) + \alpha Q(x, y),$$

$$\dot{y} = Q(x, y) - \alpha P(x, y),$$
(C5)

where

$$P(x,y) = -y + b_{11} xy + (b_{02} - \gamma) y^2,$$

$$Q(x,y) = x - x^2 + \gamma xy + a_{02} y^2.$$

Example.
$$b_{02}^2 - 4(b_{11} - 1)a_{02} < 0, \ b_{02} > 0,$$

 $g_3^0 > 0, \ g_5 < 0$

for $a_{02} = 10$, $b_{11} = 14$, $b_{02} = 3$, $\alpha = 10^{-6}$, where g_3 , g_5 are respectively the first and second focus quantities of the focus O(0,0) of system (C_5) for $\alpha = 0$; $\gamma = 0$: $g_3^0 = g_3(0)$.

Theorem. A quadratic systems has at least four limit cycles in (3:1) - distribution.



Figure 10. Function of limit cycles



Figure 11. Four limit cycles

The classification is carried out in the systems (C_3) and (C_4) according to the number and character of finite singularities:

- one saddle and three antisaddles
- three saddles and one antisaddle
- two saddles and two antisaddles
- one simple saddle and one antisaddle
- two simple antisaddles
- degenerate cases

Control of singular points at infinity is carried out with the help of a bundle of cubic curves

$$\begin{split} f(u) &= -\alpha c u^3 - (\alpha \beta - (c+1)) u^2 - (\alpha a - \beta) u + a, \\ u &= y/x. \end{split}$$

It is used the corresponding cases of a center in the origin with x-axial symmetry of the vector field (when $\alpha = \beta = \lambda = 0$) and successive variation of the parameters λ , β , and α .

Infinite Singularities





Classification of Separatrix Cycles (Continuation)



Figure 13. Carrying out the separatrix cycle classification in the case when 0 < a < 1, c < -1, $\lambda > 0$, $\beta < 0$, $\alpha = 0$



Figure 14. The case: $0 < a < 1, c < -1, \lambda > 0, \beta < 0, \alpha > 0$

Classification (Continuation)



Figure 15. The case: $0 < a < 1, c < -1, \lambda > 0, \beta \ge 0, \alpha < 0$

Loops



Figure 16. Loops

Digons



Figure 17. Digons

Triangles



Figure 18. Triangles



Figure 19. Poincaré hemi-cycles

A two-dimensional *n*-parameter polynomial system:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}),$$
 (M)

where $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{R}^2$; $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbf{R}^n$; $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathbf{R}^2$ (polynomial).



- $L_o: \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}_o(t)$ is a *limit cycle* at $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_o \in \mathbf{R}^n$
- h(s, μ) is the *Poincaré map*, where
 l is the *normal* to L_o at p_o = φ_o(0);
 s is the *coordinate* along l

• $d(s, \mu) = h(s, \mu) - s$ is the *displacement function*

Definition. A limit cycle L_o of the system (M) is a *limit cycle of multiplicity* m iff $d(0, \mu_o) = d_s(0, \mu_o) = \ldots = d_s^{(m-1)}(0, \mu_o) = 0,$ $d_s^{(m)}(0, \mu_o) \neq 0.$ First partial derivatives along the limit cycle $\varphi_o(t)$: $d_s(0, \mu_o) = \exp \int_0^{T_o} \nabla \cdot f(\varphi_o(t), \mu_o) dt - 1;$

$$d_{\mu_j}(0, \boldsymbol{\mu}_o) = \frac{-\omega_o}{\|\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_o(0), \boldsymbol{\mu}_o)\|} \\ \times \int_0^{T_o} \exp\left(-\int_0^t \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_o(\tau), \boldsymbol{\mu}_o) \, \mathrm{d}\tau\right) \\ \times \boldsymbol{f} \wedge \boldsymbol{f}_{\mu_j}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_o(t), \boldsymbol{\mu}_o) \, \mathrm{d}t,$$

where $j = 1, \ldots, n$; $\omega_o = \pm 1$ according to whether L_o is positively or negatively oriented, respectively; and the wedge product of two vectors $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1, y_2)$ in \mathbf{R}^2 is defined as

$$\boldsymbol{x} \wedge \boldsymbol{y} = x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1.$$

Remark. Similar formulas for $d_{ss}(0, \mu_o)$ and $d_{s\mu_j}(0, \mu_o)$ can be derived in terms of integrals of the vector field \boldsymbol{f} and its first and second partial derivatives along $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_o(t)$.

Fold



Figure 21. Fold bifurcation surface

Cusp



Figure 22. Cusp bifurcation surface

Swallow-Tail



Figure 23. Swallow-tail bifurcation surface



Figure 24. A curve (one-parameter family) of multiple limit cycles

Remark. For the case when n = m (i.e., when the number of parameters is equal to the multiplicity of limit cycles) we obtain a local curve (one-parameter family) of multiplicity-m limit cycles of (M) $(n \ge m \ge 2)$.

Theorem (Wintner – Perko). Any one-parameter family of multiplicity-m limit cycles of the relatively prime polynomial system (M) can be extended in a unique way to a maximal one-parameter family of multiplicity-m limit cycles of (M) which is either open or cyclic.

If it is open, then it terminates either as the parameter or the limit cycles become unbounded; or, the family terminates either at a singular point of (M), which is typically a fine focus of multiplicity m, or on a (compound) separatrix cycle of (M), which is also typically of multiplicity m. **Theorem (Perko).** If L_o is a multiple limit cycle of (M_o) and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is a field rotation parameter of (M), then L_o belongs to a one-parameter family of limit cycles of (M); furthermore:

1) if the multiplicity of L_o is odd, then the family either expands or contracts monotonically as μ increases through μ_o ;

2) if the multiplicity of L_o is even, then L_o bifurcates into a stable and an unstable limit cycle as μ varies from μ_o in one sense and L_o disappears as μ varies from μ_o in the opposite sense; i. e., there is a fold bifurcation at μ_o . **Theorem.** There exists no quadratic system having a swallow-tail bifurcation surface of multiplicity-four limit cycles in its parameter space. In other words, a quadratic system cannot have neither a multiplicityfour limit cycle nor four limit cycles around a singular point (focus), and the maximum multiplicity or the maximum number of limit cycles surrounding a focus is equal to three.

Theorem (Quadratic Hilbert's 16th Problem).

The maximum number of limit cycles in a quadratic system is equal to four and their only possible distribution is (3:1).

The FitzHugh – Nagumo model:

$$\dot{V} = I - W - aV + (a+1)V^2 - V^3,$$

$$\dot{W} = \varepsilon (V - \delta W),$$

(FN)

where V is the membrane potential, W is a recovery variable, and I is the magnitude of stimulus current, is a two-dimensional simplification of the classical Hodgkin-Huxley model of the spike dynamics in a biological neuron.

This system can be reduced to the canonical form

$$\dot{x} = (\gamma \delta - 1) y + (\gamma - a) x + b x^2 - c x^3,$$

$$\dot{y} = x - \delta y. \qquad (M_c)$$

Theorem. FitzHugh – Nagumo system (M_c) has at most two limit cycles.

For two input neurons, the learning model of neural networks can be written as a system of two cubic differential equations

$$\begin{split} \dot{x} &= ((1-\varepsilon)a + (\varepsilon/2)b)x + ((1-\varepsilon)b + (\varepsilon/2)c)y \\ &- x(ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2), \\ \dot{y} &= ((\varepsilon/2)a + (1-\varepsilon)b)x + ((\varepsilon/2)b + (1-\varepsilon)c)y \\ &- y(ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2), \end{split}$$

where the parameters ε and a, b, c represent the probability of synaptic formation and the weight strengths for the synapses attached to the input neurons, respectively (the Oja model).

This system can be reduced to the canonical form

$$\dot{x} = \lambda x - y - x(ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2),$$

$$\dot{y} = x + \lambda y - y(ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2).$$
 (N_c)

Theorem. System (N_c) has at most one limit cycle.

A quartic predator-prey model:

$$\begin{split} \dot{x} &= x \left(1 - \lambda x - \frac{y}{\alpha x^2 + \beta x + 1} \right) \quad \text{(prey)}, \\ \dot{y} &= y \left(-\delta - \mu y + \frac{x}{\alpha x^2 + \beta x + 1} \right) \quad \text{(predator)}, \end{split}$$

where $\alpha \ge 0, \, \delta > 0, \, \lambda > 0, \, \mu \ge 0$ and $\beta > -2\sqrt{\alpha}$ are parameters.

This is a variation on the classical Lotka–Volterra system which can be written in the form

$$\dot{x} = x((1 - \lambda x)(\alpha x^2 + \beta x + 1) - y) \equiv P,$$

$$\dot{y} = -y((\delta + \mu y)(\alpha x^2 + \beta x + 1) - x) \equiv Q.$$
(Q)

We use also an auxiliary system

$$\dot{x} = P - \gamma Q, \quad \dot{y} = Q + \gamma P, \qquad (Q_{\gamma})$$

where γ is a field rotation parameter.

Theorem. System (Q) has at most two limit cycles.

The classical Liénard polynomial system:

$$\dot{x} = y,$$

$$\dot{y} = -x + \mu_1 y + \mu_2 y^2 + \mu_3 y^3 + \dots \qquad (L)$$

$$+ \mu_{2k} y^{2k} + \mu_{2k+1} y^{2k+1}$$

Theorem. System (L) with limit cycles can be reduced to the canonical form

$$\begin{aligned} x &= y, \\ \dot{y} &= -x + \mu_1 y + y^2 + \mu_3 y^3 + \dots \\ &+ y^{2k} + \mu_{2k+1} y^{2k+1}, \end{aligned}$$
 (L_c)

where $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{2k+1}$ are field rotation parameters.

Theorem (Smale's 13th Problem). Liénard polynomial system (L) has at most k limit cycles.

An arbitrary polynomial system:

$$\dot{x} = P_n(x, y, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k),$$

$$\dot{y} = Q_n(x, y, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k),$$

(P)

where P_n and Q_n are polynomials in the real variables x, y and not greater than n degree containing k field rotation parameters, μ_1, \ldots, μ_k , and having an antisaddle at the origin.

Theorem. Polynomial system (P) containing k field rotation parameters and having a singular point of the center type at the origin for the zero values of these parameters can have at most k - 1 limit cycles surrounding the origin. A Liénard-type dynamical system:

$$\dot{x} = y - \varphi(x), \quad \dot{y} = \beta - \alpha x - y, \qquad (PL)$$
$$\alpha > 0, \quad \beta > 0,$$

where $\varphi(x)$ is a piecewise linear function containing k dropping sections and approximating some continuous nonlinear function.

Suppose that the ascending sections of (PL) have an inclination $k_1 > 0$ and the descending (dropping) sections have an inclination $k_2 < 0$. Then the phase plane of (PL) can be divided onto 2k + 1 parts in every of which (PL) is a linear system: the ascending sections are in k+1 strip regions $(I, III, \ldots, 2K+1)$ and the descending sections are in other k such regions $(II, IV, \ldots, 2K)$. The parameters k_1, k_2 , and also α can be considered as rotation parameters for the sewed vector field of (PL).

Theorem. System (PL) with k dropping sections and 2k + 1 singular points can have at most k + 2limit cycles, k + 1 of which surround the foci one by one and the last, (k + 2)-th, limit cycle surrounds all of the singular points of (PL).



Figure 25. Bifurcation of a strange attractor

- F.Botelho and V.A.Gaiko, Global analysis of planar neural networks, *Nonlinear Anal.* **64** (2006), 1002–1011.
- V.A.Gaiko, Limit cycles of quadratic systems, *Non-linear Anal.* **69** (2008), 2150–2157.
- V.A.Gaiko, Limit cycles of Liénard-type dynamical systems, *Cubo* **10** (2008), 115–132.
- V.A.Gaiko, A quadratic system with two parallel straight-line-isoclines, *Nonlinear Anal.* **71** (2009), 5860–5865.
- V.A.Gaiko and W.T. van Horssen, A piecewise linear dynamical system with two dropping sections, *Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos* **19** (2009), 1367–1372.
- V.A.Gaiko and W.T. van Horssen, Global analysis of a piecewise linear Liénard-type dynamical system, *Int. J. Dyn. Syst. Differ. Equ.* 2 (2009), 115–128.
- H.W.Broer and V.A.Gaiko, Global qualitative analysis of a quartic ecological model, *Nonlinear Anal.* 72 (2010), 628–634.

- V.A.Gaiko, Multiple limit cycle bifurcations of the FitzHugh–Nagumo neuronal model, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011), 7532–7542.
- V.A.Gaiko, On limit cycles surrounding a singular point, *Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst.* 20 (2012), 329–337.
- V.A.Gaiko, The applied geometry of a general Liénard polynomial system, *Appl. Math. Letters* 25 (2012), 2327–2331.
- V.A.Gaiko, Limit cycle bifurcations of a general Liénard system with polynomial restoring and damping functions, *Int. J. Dyn. Syst. Differ. Equ.* 4 (2012), 242–254.
- V.A.Gaiko, Chaos transition in the Lorenz system, Herald Odesa Nation. Univ. Math. Mech. 18 (2013), 51–58.
- V.A.Gaiko, Limit cycle bifurcations of a special Liénard polynomial system, *Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl.* 9 (2014), 109–123.
- V.A.Gaiko, Global bifurcation analysis of the Lorenz system, *J. Nonlin. Sci. Appl.* **7** (2014), 429–434.